Interview Summary	Application No. 09/222,588	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.	
	Examiner Parviz Hassanzadeh	Art Unit 1763	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Parviz Hassanzadeh*. (3)_____.

(2) *Quin C. Hoellwarth*. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *9/19-22/00* .

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: *1, 24, and 35* .

Identification of prior art discussed: *See Continuation Sheet* .

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *See Continuation Sheet* .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Walko, II.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In order to further distinguish the present invention from Walko, II, it was suggested to elaborate on the independent claims by including "chamber liner", "removing electrons from plasma", "electrically conductive material", and "the position/orientation of the perforated ring with respect to the bottom electrode" .